### II. Law of Contrapositive

Also known as "modus tollens."

A. General Form

If p, then qnot qTherefore, not p

B. Example

If you are a parent, then you have children. You have no children. Therefore, you are not a parent.

p = "You are a parent." q = "You have children."

C. Alternate form

 No p is q But r is q. Therefore, r is not p. Example: No triangle is a rectangle. A square is a rectangle. Therefore, no square is a triangle.

- D. Additional examples with explanations
  - If the Steelers win Sunday, they win their division. The Steelers didn't win their division. So they didn't win Sunday.

Translate this example to "classic" Law of Contrapositive form like this: If <u>the Steelers win Sunday</u>, then <u>they win their division</u>.

a

 $\frac{p}{\frac{\text{The Steelers did not win their division.}}{\text{not } q}}$ Therefore, they didn't win Sunday.

Every even number is divisible by 2.
So 9 is not an even number because it's not divisible by 2.

Translation to standard Law of Contrapositive format: If a number is even, then it is divisible by 2. 9 is not divisible by 2. So 9 is not an even number.

3. No tame animals are carnivorous, so my cat is not tame, for she eats meat.

Translate to Rule of Detachment form, switching the last two clauses: If an animal is tame, then it is not carnivorous. My cat eats meat. Therefore, my cat is not tame.

Law of the Contrapositive - 1

4. To run for President of the United States, you must be at least 35 years old. Smith is only 33; so Smith is not eligible to run for President.

Translate to Rule of Detachment form: If you run for President, then you must be at least 35. Smith is only 33. Therefore, Smith is not eligible to run for President.

# E. Fallacy Associated with the Law of the Contrapositive

# Affirming the Consequent Fallacy

General Form of the Fallacy

If p, then q

q

Therefore, p

Example of the Fallacy

If the Steelers win Sunday, they win their Division.

The Steelers won their division.

Therefore, they must have won Sunday.

Explanation: The conclusion doesn't necessarily follow from the premises because there could have been another way the Steelers could win the division. For example, the second place may have lost also.

# PRACTICE EXERCISES

1. To be a suspect in the murder, Simpson must have a motive. Simpson has no motive. So Simpson is not a suspect.

Translation:

If \_\_\_\_\_, then \_\_\_\_\_.

Is the reasoning valid? \_\_\_\_

2. Donald Trump is not a true Republican because a true Republican is a conservative, and Trump is not a conservative.

Translation:

If \_\_\_\_\_, then \_\_\_\_\_.

Is the reasoning valid?

Law of the Contrapositive - 2

**3.** I vote only for candidates who believe in limited government. So I can't support Hillary Clinton because she doesn't believe in limited government.

	Translation:
	If, then
	Is the reasoning valid?
4.	School guidance counselor: "If you take Fine Arts next year, you won't be able to stay in the Honor Society. Let me know by tomorrow what you decide to do." Student the next day: "I don't care about staying in the Honor Society." Counselor: "So you're taking Fine Arts."
	Translation:
	If, then
	Is the reasoning valid?

# Your Notes for Law of the Contrapositive

# Answers to Completions

 To be a suspect in the murder, Simpson must have a motive. Simpson has no motive. So Simpson is not a suspect.

Translation:

#### If you are a suspect in a murder, then \_ you have a motive .

#### Simpson has no motive.

#### Simpson is not a suspect.

Is the reasoning valid? Yes

**2.** Donald Trump is not a true Republican because a true Republican is a conservative, and Trump is not a conservative.

Translation:

If you are a true Republican, then you are a conservative .

Trump is not a conservative.

Trump is not a true Republican.

Is the reasoning valid? Yes

**3.** I vote only for candidates who believe in limited government. So I can't support Hillary Clinton because she doesn't believe in limited government.

Translation:

If I support a candidate, then the candidate believes in limited government.

# Hillary Clinton doesn't believe in limited government.

# I can't support Hillary Clinton.

Is the reasoning valid? Yes

4. School guidance counselor: "If you take Fine Arts next year, you won't be able to stay in the Honor Society. Let me know by tomorrow what you decide to do." Student the next day: "I don't care about staying in the Honor Society." Counselor: "So you're taking Fine Arts."

Translation:

If you take Fine Arts, then you can't stay in the Honor Society.

You're not staying in the Honor Society.

# You're taking Fine Arts.

Is the reasoning valid? <u>No</u>